



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,061	08/30/2001	Aalim Lakhani	CA920000056US1/2178P 8697	
75	90 03/02/2005		EXAM	INER
SAWYER LA	W GROUP		CHEN,	TE Y
P.O. Box 51418				
Palo Alto, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	•		2161	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/943,061	LAKHANI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan Y Chen	2161
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Notest</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examiner of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		(A) (B)
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)
 2) Notice of Practices Cited (PTO-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)



Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/8/2004 has been entered.

Claims 1-25 are pending for continued examination, claims 1, 10, 15 and 17 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mikurak (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,744) in view of Wyatt (U.S. Patent No. 6,041,411).

Art Unit: 2161

As to claim 1, Mikurak discloses an e-commerce system [e.g., Fig. 4] for enabling the purchase of a package of products and services [e.g., Fig(s). 54-55], comprising:

- a) a catalog database [e.g. see the modules 5300, 5324 of Fig. 53; Fig. 80; the Application Database of Fig. 121] comprising package data correlated to at least one package [e.g. see col. 178, lines 19-46].
- b) a selection module coupled to the catalog database for allowing a customer to select a package for purchase [e.g. see the shopping Cart processing at col. 96 et seq., Fig. 55].
- c) a resolution module coupled to the catalog database for resolving unresolved attributes of the one or more products in the selected unresolved package [e.g. see the Problem Handling process 1502, Fig. 21; col. 46, lines 54 col. 48, lines 41].

Mikurak did not expressly disclosed that resolving the unresolved attribute of products in a package is by accessing the package data correlated to the selected unresolved package.

However, Wyatt disclosed a e-commerce system has a resolution module that resolving the unresolved attribute of products in a package is by accessing the package data correlated to the selected unresolved package [e.g., Fig. 7 and associated texts, col. 9, lines 29 – col. 10, lines 40].

Mikurak and Wyatt are in the same field of providing a resolution module to resolve the problems occurred in a e-commerce system. Thus, with the teachings of

Art Unit: 2161

Mikurak and Wyatt in front of him/her, a ordinary skilled person in the art, at the time the invention was made would be motivated to modify Mikurak's resolution module with the technique taught by Wyatt, because by doing so, the combined system will provide a resolving module to resolve the unresolved attribute of products in a package via direct accessing the package data correlated to the selected unresolved package such that the product is to be automatically launched upon purchase [e.g., Wyatt: col. 10, lines 30 – 40]

As to claim 2, the combined system further discloses the catalog database comprising item data, product data and attribute data [e.g., Mikurak: the Item catalog screen processing, col. 97, line 49 – 59].

As to claim 3, the combined system further discloses the catalog database comprising image data correlated to at least one package [e.g., see Mikurak: the on-line display of purchase list, col. 28, lines 21-23; col. 97, lines 55-56].

As to claims 4-5, the combined system further discloses the system connected to a global communication network – Internet [e.g., see Mikurak: the network (135), Fig. 1].

As to claims 6-9, the combined system further discloses the system comprising catalog database, selection module, resolution module, ordering module, and payment module [e.g., see Mikurak: Fig. 4, Fig(s). 20-27].

Art Unit: 2161

As to claims 10-16, these claims recite similar features as claims 1-9 in form of ecommerce processing method, hence are rejected for the same reason.

As to claims 17-25, these claims recited similar features as claims 1-15 in form of computer readable product implemented in multimedia signal-baring medium, via modulated carrier signal transmission over a network/internet [e.g., see Mikurak: Abstract, lines 1-12]. Thus, they are rejected for the same reason.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Y Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-4016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 571-272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan Y Chen Examiner Art Unit 2161

February 8, 2005

UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINES